

Commissioning a Patient-led NHS in Essex

Formal Consultation

14 December 2005 to 22 March 2006

What people want

- n Local services when you need them**
- n Emergency care when you need it**
- n No waiting**
- n The best patient experience**
- n To have a say, to have a choice**
- n More emphasis on prevention**
- n Health and social care working together**

8 national criteria

- n Improve commissioning**
- n Improve the engagement of GPs**
- n Improve co-ordination with social services**
- n Secure high quality safe services**
- n Improve health, reduce inequalities**
- n Improve public involvement**
- n Manage financial balance and risk**
- n At least 15% reduction in management costs**

What is the best PCT structure?

n Stronger commissioning

- n Improve commissioning**
- n Improve the engagement of GPs**
- n Secure high quality safe services**
- n Improve public involvement**
- n Manage financial balance and risk**

What is the best PCT structure?

- n **Closer links with local councils and LSPs**
 - n Improve co-ordination with social services
 - n Improve health, reduce inequalities
- n **Management cost savings**
 - n At least 15% reduction in management costs
 - n Target for Essex £7.5m (£2m from SHA, £5.5m from PCTs)

Option 1 – 2 PCTs (North Essex and South Essex)

- n £3.3 million above current level to strengthen commissioning
- n Resources for locality Director and team
- n Resources for locality public health budget
- n In-house functions or share “back office functions”
- n Economies of scale and devolved structure
- n HQ remote from local practices
- n Deprived areas risk losing out if finances merged

Option 2 – 3 PCTs (Essex County, Southend and Thurrock)

- n Coterminous with social care and education–benefits for joint commissioning
- n £2.5 million more available than currently to strengthen commissioning...
- n ...but in Southend and Thurrock only £0.7m and £0.6m in total
- n Southend and Thurrock likely to need £1m more each:
 - n Potentially £2m away from frontline services
 - n Other PCTs would need to make good savings shortfall
- n Larger PCT would have economies of scale, but over 1.3 million population

Option 3 – 4 PCTs (North, South, Southend and Thurrock)

- n Nth Essex has similar pros/cons as option 1
- n 3 sth PCTs could consider shared services
- n Southend and Thurrock PCTs have benefits of coterminosity, but same problems with resources as option 2
- n South Essex PCT does not match local communities or health arrangements

Option 4 – 5 PCTs (Mid, North East, SE, SW, West)

- n Builds on existing arrangements**
- n Potentially £0.9 million above current level – could be further savings from shared services**
- n Resources for locality Director and team**
- n Resources for locality public health budget**
- n Is management cost sufficient to strengthen commissioning?**

Streamlining SHAs

- n SHAs responsible for fewer PCTs**
- n More hospitals becoming independent Foundation Trusts**
- n Closer match with Government Office**
- n Strategic overview to meet national objectives**

Ambulance reconfiguration

- n Range of emergency care expanding - *Taking Healthcare to the Patient*
- n Larger organisations - more capacity for new services
- n Management cost savings – more money for frontline services
- n Local operational structures to serve different communities
- n Boundaries to match SHAs, GOs

Your feedback

- n What do you think are the pros and cons of each option?**
- n Documents and feedback forms available**
- n Deadline 22 March**